

**REMARKS**

This Amendment is responsive to the Office Action dated March 30, 2006. Applicant has amended claims 55, 60, 62, 65 70 and 72; canceled claims 58, 59, 68 and 69; and added new claims 75-106. Claims 55-57, 60-67 and 70-106 are now pending.

In the Office Action, the Examiner objected to claims 59-63 and 70-73 as being dependent on rejected base claims, but indicated that these claims would be allowable if the claims were re-written into independent form. In addition, claim 69 (which is similar to claim 59) was not rejected based on any prior art.

In this response, Applicants have amended all pending claims to recite subject matter that the Examiner has indicated as being allowable.

Specifically, claim 55 has been amended to recite the subject matter of former dependent claims 58 and 59. Accordingly, claim 55 and its respective dependent claims are now in condition for allowance.

Claim 62 has been amended to be in independent form. Accordingly, claim 62 and its respective dependent claims are now in condition for allowance.

Claim 65 has been amended to recite the subject matter of former dependent claims 68 and 69. The Examiner's rejection to claim 69, as lacking antecedent basis for the term "network," has also been addressed in this amendment. Accordingly, claim 65 and its respective dependent claims are now in condition for allowance.

Claim 72 has been amended to be in independent form. Accordingly, claim 72 and its respective dependent claims are now in condition for allowance.

New claims 75-106 should be patentable for the same reasons as amended claims 55-57, 60-67 and 70-74. New claims 75-106 simply recite the features, which the Examiner has indicated as being allowable, in alternative classes of statutory subject matter. Claims 75-82 are in means plus function format, in compliance with 35 U.S.C. 112, sixth paragraph. Claims 83-90 recite an access terminal that includes one or more processors to perform the functionality that the Examiner has indicated as being allowable. Claims 91-98 are directed to computer readable media comprising instructions that upon execution cause an access terminal to execute the techniques that the Examiner has indicated as being allowable. Claims 99-106 recite systems that include both the network and the access terminal that execute the techniques that the Examiner has indicated as being allowable.

Support for the new claims can be found in several places in Applicant's specification. Applicant directs the Examiner's attention, e.g., to pages 15-16 of the present application for support for various new claims.

All claims in this application are now in condition for allowance. Applicant respectfully requests reconsideration and prompt allowance of all pending claims. Please charge any additional fees or credit any overpayment to deposit account number 17-0026. The Examiner is invited to telephone the below-signed attorney to discuss this application.

Date:

By:

3/2/07

QUALCOMM, Inc.  
5775 Morehouse Drive  
San Diego, CA 92121  
Telephone: 858.651.1306  
Facsimile: 858.658.2502

/George C. Pappas/

Name: George Pappas  
Reg. No.: 35,065